

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN  
LIMINE NO. 12**

*All Indirect Purchaser Actions;*

*Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-  
cv-05513;

*Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No.  
13-cv-05264;

*Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.*  
*Technicolor SA*, No. 13-cv-05262;

*Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.*  
*Chunghwa Picture tubes, Ltd.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

*Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd.*, No. 13-  
cv-01173;

*Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips*  
*Electronics, N.V., et al.*, No. 13-cv-02776;

*Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05502;

*Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05261;

*Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et*  
*al.*, No. 13-cv-05514;

*Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-  
05686;

*Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.*,  
No. 14-cv-02510.

PROPOSED ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 12

CASE NO. 07-5944; MDL NO. 1917

1  
2  
3       Upon consideration of Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 (D.E. 3568), all papers filed  
4 in support of and in opposition to said motion, and arguments made by counsel, if any, IT IS  
5 HEREBY ORDERED:

6       Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 is DENIED  
7

8  
9 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_  
10                   HON. SAMUEL CONTI  
11                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28